

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

52

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/025,528 | 12/18/2001 | Joakim O. Blanch | 1391-26800 | 6433 | |
| 7 | 590 11/12/2003 | EXAMINER (| | | |
| Mark E Scott | | | MOSKOWITZ, NELSON / | | |
| CONLEY ROS | SE & TAYON PC | | | | |
| P O Box 3267 | | ART UNIT | -PAPER NUMBER ' | | |
| Houston, TX 77253-3267 | | | 3663 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | \sim W | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|----------|--|--|--|
| | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | 10/025,528 | BLANCH ET AL. | | | | |
| | | | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Nelson Moskowitz | 3663 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) fil | ed on | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL. | 2b)⊠ This ac | ction is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restri | iction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)🖾 - | 0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 September 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| a)[_* s | Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internative ee the attached detailed Office activity. | documents I documents I of the priority onal Bureau (on for a list of | nave been received. have been received in Appli y documents have been rec PCT Rule 17.2(a)). the certified copies not rec | cation No eived in this National Stag | | | | |
| si 37 a) | cknowledgment is made of a claim nce a specific reference was include 7 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign lacknowledgment is made of a claim of the specific results. | ed in the first | sentence of the specificatio sional application has been | n or in an Application Data | a Sheet. | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🛛 Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I | | | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/025,528

Art Unit: 3663

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as his invention.

The terminology "comparing the estimated source waveforms to determine..." in pending independent claims 1, 23 and 36, makes the aforesaid pending claims vague and indefinite. The metes and bounds of the above cited claims can not be determined with a reasonable degree of certainty by one skilled in this art as it is unclear what is being compared to the estimated source waveforms. Although it is evident from Applicants specification that the estimated source waveforms are compared to each other, the claim language fails to clearly claim such comparison.

2. Claims 1-58 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-57 of copending Application No. 10/027749. This rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186

Application/Control Number: 10/025,528

Art Unit: 3663

(1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- The references cited by Applicant (PTO-1449s; paper # 2) have been considered and 3. found generally representative of the state of this art. Applicant is requested to make of record in this application all relevant and material prior art of record in Applicants related patent applications.
- 4. References A-F and N (PTO-892) are cited to show Applicant's related publications, and the widespread knowledge of using source waveform estimations in seismic data processing. However, the prior art fails to obviate the additional step of comparing estimated source waveforms as claimed.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson Moskowitz whose telephone number is 703-306-4165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black, can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The before final fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326. The after final

Art Unit: 3663

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9327.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

NELSON MOSKOWITZ PRIMARY EXAMINER